# Journal of Medicinal Chemistry



# Synthesis, Biological Evaluation, and Structure–Activity Relationships of 2-[2-(Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid Analogues as Inhibitors of Adenovirus Replication

Christopher T. Öberg,<sup>†,§</sup> Mårten Strand,<sup>‡,§</sup> Emma K. Andersson,<sup>‡,§</sup> Karin Edlund,<sup>‡,§</sup> Nam Phuong Nguyen Tran,<sup>†,§</sup> Ya-Fang Mei,<sup>‡,§</sup> Göran Wadell,<sup>‡,§,||</sup> and Mikael Elofsson<sup>\*,†,§,||</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Clinical Microbiology, Umeå University, SE-901 85 Umeå, Sweden

<sup>§</sup>Umeå Centre for Microbial Research, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden

<sup>II</sup>Laboratories for Molecular Infection Medicine Sweden, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden

Supporting Information



**ABSTRACT:** 2-[2-Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic acid (1) was previously identified as a potent and nontoxic antiadenoviral compound (*Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2010**, *54*, 3871). Here, the potency of 1 was improved over three generations of compounds. We found that the *ortho, ortho* substituent pattern and the presence of the carboxylic acid of 1 are favorable for this class of compounds and that the direction of the amide bonds (as in 1) is obligatory. Some variability in the *N*-terminal moiety was tolerated, but benzamides appear to be preferred. The substituents on the middle and C-terminal rings were varied, resulting in two potent inhibitors, **35g** and **35j**, with  $EC_{50} = 0.6 \ \mu M$  and low cell toxicity.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Adenovirus infections are very common and can occur throughout the year. Children in particular are commonly infected in the respiratory tract and alimentary tract, and adenovirus can be considered endemic in the pediatric population. The human adenoviruses have more than 50 different serotypes that fall into seven species, A-G, and all belong to the Mastadenovirus genus of the family Adenoviridae. Adenoviruses are associated with a wide variety of clinical symptoms in humans such as acute upper respiratory disease, gastroenteritis, hemorrhagic cystitis, and conjunctivitis.<sup>2-4</sup> An infection can result in severe disease, but adenovirus infections are most often self-limited in immunocompetent individuals. In immunocompromised individuals, the situation is quite different. For these people, including patients immunosuppressed for hematopoietic stem cell or solid organ transplantation, AIDS patients, and patients with genetic immunodeficiencies, an adenovirus infection might result in disseminated disease and multiple organ failure.<sup>5,8</sup> Case fatality rates above 50% have been reported for immunocompromised children with adenovirus infections, and in pediatric bone marrow transplant recipients in particular, the incidence of adenovirus infection is higher than that for adult recipients.<sup>5,7</sup> Several different adenovirus serotypes have been isolated from immunocompromised patients, most often from species A, B, or C.<sup>5,8,9</sup> Serotypes from species B are mainly associated with renal syndromes in these patients and species C serotypes with hepatitis. Reports of a species A serotype, Ad31, have increased in recent years, and this serotype often occurs in patients infected with multiple adenovirus serotypes.<sup>10,11</sup>

Today, there are no approved specific antiviral compounds for treatment of adenovirus infections. Various drugs, such as ribavirin, cidofovir, and ganciclovir, have been tested in clinical settings or in animal models with variable results. Of the currently used antiviral agents, cidofovir appears to be most promising against adenovirus infections,<sup>12–16</sup> but the outcome

Received: December 2, 2011 Published: February 27, 2012



Figure 1. Hit structure (1) obtained from screening of a library of 9800 small molecules for inhibition of adenovirus replication, together with the inactive compounds 2 and 3.<sup>1</sup>

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Esters 7a-e and Acids 1 and 8a-d



of cidofovir-treated hematopoietic stem cell recipients with adenovirus infections has been found to be poor.<sup>17</sup>

Antiviral compounds to be considered as drug candidates must be assessed in whole cell systems that allow uptake and distribution of the compound to all required cellular compartments. We have therefore assayed the antiadenoviral activity in both heteroploid and normal diploid cells representing epithelial tumors, hematopoietic malignant cells, and diploid human fibroblasts. We selected adenovirus type 5, which is ubiquitous and often used as a representative of this family, and the antiadenoviral activity was assessed in A549 cells throughout the study.

We have previously identified 2-[2-benzoylamino]benzoylamino]benzoic acid (1, Figure 1) as a potent antiadenoviral compound with low cellular toxicity.<sup>1</sup> In the present study, we designed, synthesized, and evaluated three generations of inhibitors, 42 compounds in total. We established structure-activity relationships and identified inhibitors with improved potency.

# 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the quality control of the commercial screening hit compound 1, the material turned out to be composed of a mixture of 1, 2, and 3 (Figure 1). These were separated and reevaluated as pure compounds. We discovered that only the trimeric structure (1) was active, whereas the dimeric (2) and the tetrameric (3) structures were inactive.<sup>1</sup> Thus, the synthesized and purchased substances that are presented here are all trimeric analogues of 1.

**2.1. Chemistry: First-Generation Compounds.** To determine the importance of the *ortho*, *ortho* substituent

pattern, 1 was scrambled to give compounds 8a-d (Scheme 1, Table 1). First, the carboxylic acid of the right-hand ring was moved to the meta (8a) or para (8b) position while maintaining the ortho pattern on the central ring. Second, the substituents on the central ring were moved to the *meta* (8c)and para (8d) positions while maintaining the ortho pattern on the right-hand ring. A general synthetic route to compounds 1 and 8a-d is presented in Scheme 1. Activation of acids 4a-c with oxalyl chloride and coupling to anilines 5a-c gave amides 6a-e. Subsequent fluoride-mediated removal of the 9fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl (Fmoc) group,<sup>18</sup> followed by benzoylation in a one-pot, two-step procedure, afforded esters 7a-e at 9-57% yield from 4a-c after HPLC purification (Scheme 1). Portions of the ethyl esters 7a-e were saponified and the acids 1 and 8a-d were isolated in 81-86% yield after HPLC purification (Scheme 1).

To determine whether the carboxylic acid moiety of the lead compound 1 was critical for biological activity, the reference compound 11 without the carboxylic acid was synthesized (Scheme 2). The route was essentially as described in Scheme 1, with the difference that the coupling of 9 with 4a was performed using the coupling reagent (benzotriazol-1-yloxy)-tris(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (BOP) to give 10.

In addition, a small set of commercial compounds, 12a-g, with additional structural variation, was included in the evaluation (Table 2).

**2.2. Biology: First-Generation Compounds.** Compounds 1, 7a-e, 8a-8d, 11 (Table 1), and 12a-g (Table 2) were screened for inhibition of adenoviral replication essentially as described previously.<sup>1</sup> Briefly, A549 cells were infected with

Table 1. Inhibition of Adenovirus 5 Replication with Synthesized Compounds



<sup>*a*</sup>Percentage inhibition of Ad5 replication in A549 cells at a concentration of 15  $\mu$ M. R denotes ethyl for compounds 7a–e and H for compounds 1 and 8a–d. See the Experimental Section for details. <sup>*b*</sup>Visible precipitation of compound in the assay mixture. <sup>*c*</sup>No evaluation was done due to poor solubility in DMSO and extremely poor water solubility. <sup>*d*</sup>Percentage inhibition for the synthesized compound. The commercial compound gave 91 ± 4% inhibition at 15  $\mu$ M.

Ad5 and incubated with the compound for 24 h. Thereafter, DNA was prepared and quantitative real-time PCR was used to assess the amount of viral DNA synthesized. Compound 1 contains a right-hand ring with *ortho*-connected substituents and a central ring, also with *ortho*-connected substituents. Moving the carboxylic acid moiety to the *meta* (8a) or *para* (8b) position resulted in a sharp drop in activity. Changing the pattern of substitution on the central ring to *meta* (8c) or *para* (8d) resulted in reduced activity, although this was less dramatic than with 8a and 8b. This result indicates that there appears to be more room for changes in the left-hand part of the molecule. The wiggle room seen in the left-hand part of the

Compound	Structure	Percent Inhibition			
		15 μM <sup>a</sup>	$5 \mu M^a$		
12a	К С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С	0	0		
12b	С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С	20 ± 10	0		
12c	O	$85 \pm 4$	0		
12d		19±7	0		
12e	H H H	7 ± 5	5 ± 3		
12f		67±0.3	0		
12g	O NH O	12±6	0		

 Table 2. Inhibition of Adenovirus 5 Replication with

 Commercial Compounds

<sup>*a*</sup>Percentage inhibition of Ad5 replication in A549 cells at 5  $\mu$ M and 15  $\mu$ M. See the Experimental Section for details.

molecule was also evident, because 1, 12c, and 12f showed similar activities (Table 1 and 2). The *ortho, ortho* substituent pattern thus appears to be favorable for the [(benzoylamino)-benzoylamino]benzoic acid class of inhibitors of adenovirus replication.

The esters 7a-e corresponding to the acids 1 and 8a-d were included to investigate the importance of the carboxylic acid. A comparison of the ester/acid pairs 7c/8c and 7d/8d showed that the acids were more active than the corresponding esters (Table 1). Interestingly, the ester 7c and the corresponding amide 12d show similar activity (Table 1 and 2). The preference for acid 8c does not appear to be a steric effect because the terminal amide in 12d and the carboxylic acid in 8cwill occupy very similar volumes. When comparing 1 with 8a, 8b, 11, and 12g, it even appears worse to have a carboxylate in

Compound	Structure	Percentage	e inhibition	Compound	Structuro	Percentage inhibition			
Compound	Structure	15 μ <b>Μ</b> <sup>a</sup>	$5 \mu M^{a}$	Compound	Structure	$15 \ \mu M^{a}$	5 μ <b>Μ</b> <sup>a</sup>		
1	NH O H H O H O H O H O H	96 ± 1	89 ± 5	17g	NH O H O H O H	79 ± 5	44 ± 17		
17a		24 ± 14	4 ± 11	17h	F O NH O OH	98 ± 1	96 ± 1		
17b		23 ± 13	0	17i		n.d. <sup>b</sup>	41 ± 32		
17c	O S NH O H	8 ± 35	2 ± 24	17j	F H O F OH	95 ± 1	76 ± 10		
17d		52 ± 18	45 ± 7	17k		21 ± 12	32 ± 19		
17e	O NH O NH O OH	55 ± 11	20 ± 1	23	O NH O NH O NH O O H	0	0		
17f		0	0	28		0	0		

	Table 3.	Inhibition	of Adenovirus	5 R	eplication	with	Second-	Generation	Compounds
--	----------	------------	---------------	-----	------------	------	---------	------------	-----------

<sup>a</sup>Percentage inhibition of Ad5 replication in A549 cells at 5 and 15  $\mu$ M. See the Experimental Section for details. <sup>b</sup>n.d. = not determined.

#### Scheme 3. Synthesis of Acids 17a-k



the *meta* (8a) or *para* (8b) position than none at all (11) or than a methyl group in the *ortho* position (12g) (Table 1 and 2). Reversing the direction of the amide bonds, as in going from

the potent compound 12c to 12a, completely abolished

antiviral activity (Table 2).

#### Scheme 4. Synthesis of Acid 23



Scheme 5. Synthesis of Acid 28



Scheme 6. Synthesis of Acids 35a-j



**2.3. Chemistry: Second-Generation Compounds.** On the basis of the first-generation inhibitors, we concluded that the *ortho, ortho* substituent pattern was preferable, that the free acid was beneficial, and that there appeared to be room for variation in the *N*-terminus. As a next step, compounds 17a-k (Table 3) with variation at the *N*-terminus were designed and synthesized (Scheme 3). A more efficient and high-yielding route than the previous one (Scheme 1) was envisaged using the nitro group of 13 as protected aniline. Fortunately, coupling of 13 with 5a followed by hydrogenation of the resulting product (14) gave 15 in quantative amounts. Compound 15 was subsequently reacted with some selected activated acids, sulfonyl chlorides, succinic anhydride, and benzyl bromide to give 16a-k in 45–98% yield. The route was concluded with hydrolysis to give 17a-k in 33–99% yield (Scheme 3, Table 3).

To investigate the presumptive binding pocket further, compounds 23 and 28 were synthesized (Schemes 4 and 5). These compounds and 17k each contain an additional methylene group compared to the parent compound 1. The added methylene group gives a slightly longer inhibitor overall and separates the amide–carboxylic acid in 23 and amide– amide in 28, groups that commonly participate in directed

hydrogen bonding to receptors. Apart from using phenylacetic acid, derivatives 18 and 24, respectively, the route to 23 and 28 followed suit with the one presented in Scheme 3.

**2.4. Biology: Second-Generation Inhibitors.** Most of the second-generation inhibitors showed lower activity than 1 (Table 3). However, this screening indicated that compound 17h is more active than 1 and that compound 17j is of similar potency. Compounds 17d, 17e, and 17g had diminished but not abolished activity, while the other compounds tested showed much lower potency. Elongation of the inhibitor with a methylene unit was unfavorable, regardless of the position of insertion, as seen for 17k, 23, and 28.

**2.5. Chemistry: Third-Generation Inhibitors.** A further round of optimization to give a third generation of inhibitors was performed around **17h**, the most potent compound from the second generation. Here, the central and C-terminal rings were decorated with electron-donating substituents (OMe) or small and larger electron-withdrawing substituents (F, Cl). The synthetic route (Scheme 6) followed the one outlined for the second generation (Scheme 3) and gave compounds 35a-j (Table 4). Where amino benzoate esters were not commercially available, a methyl esterification of the corresponding

	<u><u> </u></u>	Percentage	inhibition	Commoned	Starra starras	Percentage inhibition		
Compound	Structure	15 μM <sup>a</sup>	$5 \mu M^{a}$	Compound	Structure	15 μ <b>M</b> <sup>a</sup>	$5 \mu M^{a}$	
1	Соон	96 ± 1	89±5	35e		0	0	
17h	P O O NH O O COOH	98±1	96±1	35f		78±2	57±5	
35a		61±0	0	35g		91±2	89±2	
35b		0	0	35h	F O NH O NH MeO	89±6	92±3	
35c	F O NH O H COOH	0	0	35i	F O NH O H COOH	97±1	91±6	
35d	NH O NH O NH COOH	78±3	28±20	35j		93±2	94±3	

Table 7. Initibilion of Adenovirus 5 Replication with Third-Generation Compound	Table 4.	Inhibition	of A	Adenovirus	5	Re	plication	with	Third	-Generation	Compound
---	----------	------------	------	------------	---	----	-----------	------	-------	-------------	----------

<sup>a</sup>Percentage inhibition of AdS replication in A549 cells at 5 and 15  $\mu$ M. See the Experimental Section for details.

commercial amino benzoic acid was conveniently carried out using trimethylsilyldiazomethane.<sup>19</sup> Substantial amounts of byproduct were observed after hydrogenolysis of the 4,5difluoro-2-nitrobenzamide **32e**, which was possibly a result of nucleophilic aromatic substitution of the unreacted activated difluoronitrobenzamide.

For the four chlorinated compounds 32a, 32b, 32f, and 32g, reduction with hydrogen gas over palladium on charcoal resulted in the corresponding undesirable *des*-chloro compound. A small screen to find conditions that avoided reducing the chloro substitutent was carried out: NaBH<sub>4</sub>/Pd/C,<sup>20</sup> SnCl<sub>2</sub>/2H<sub>2</sub>O,<sup>21</sup> and FeCl<sub>3</sub>/C/hydrazine.<sup>22–24</sup> FeCl<sub>3</sub>/C/hydrazine conditions gave enough of the desired anilines 33f and 33g to move forward, although the method was less than ideal (20–46% yield). For nitro compounds 32a and 32b, with a methyl ester instead of an ethyl ester, no desired compound could be

isolated. Rather, a crystalline, poorly soluble product in which the methyl ester was cleaved was isolated as the major product, presumably due to hydrazinolysis. Starting with the corresponding ethyl ester instead did give enough of the desired anilines **33a** and **33b**, although in very poor yields (1-10%), to obtain **35a** and **35b**.

**2.6. Biology: Third-Generation Compounds.** With the exception of **35d**, substitution on the C-terminal ring reduced activity. On the other hand, the central ring tolerated all the substituents tested, as shown for **35f**–**j**, and most of the compounds inhibited viral replication to the same extent as **1** and **17h**. The biological activity could not be correlated with the calculated physcial properties  $pK_a$  or log *P* (see Supporting Information). Interestingly, the variation in the calculated  $pK_a$  values differed substantially between models (two different empirical models and quantum mechanical (QM) calculation

#### Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

on different protonation states and conformations). The variation in calculated  $pK_a$  between models was larger than the variation seen between different compounds. The lack of correlation probably reflects the complexity of a whole-cell assay system, where any change in substituents and the corresponding change in physical properites of the compounds will affect for example solubility, membrane permeability, nonspecific protein binding, and target affinity.

**2.7.** EC<sub>50</sub> and Toxicity of Selected Compounds. Host cell toxicity is an important factor in the development of antiviral agents. For compounds 1, 17h, and 35g–j, toxicity was measured using the XTT test<sup>25–27</sup> and EC<sub>50</sub> values for inhibition of viral replication were determined (Table 5). The most potent compounds 35g and 35j have EC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.57 and 0.58  $\mu$ M, respectively, and are thus more potent than both 1 and 17h. The inhibitors 35g and 35j both show low toxicity at concentrations 2 orders of magnitude higher than their EC<sub>50</sub> values. Importantly, the inhibitors are more potent than cidofovir, which is currently used in the clinic to treat adenovirus infections.

# 3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The potency of 2-[2-benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic acid (1) was improved by screening three generations of designed and synthesized compounds and also seven commercial, structurally similar compounds. From the first generation, we conclude that the ortho, ortho substituent pattern and the presence of a carboxylic acid in 1 is favorable for this class of compounds and that the direction of the amide bonds as in 1 is obligate. Although there appears to be room for some variability in the N-terminal moiety of the compound class, a second set of designed compounds showed that the substituted benzamides are preferable, and compound 17h showed improved activity over 1. In a third generation, the substituents on the central and C-terminal aromatic rings were varied, resulting in potent inhibitors of intracellular viral replication with low cell toxicity. The most potent compounds 35g and 35j have EC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.57 and 0.58  $\mu$ M, respectively, and have low toxicity at concentrations 2 orders of magnitude higher than their  $EC_{50}$  values. In conclusion, we have shown that this class of compounds hold promise to be further developed into novel antiadenoviral drugs.

#### 4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**4.1. Quantitative Real-Time PCR.** The method used to establish the inhibitory effects of the compounds has been described elsewhere.<sup>1</sup> Briefly, A549 cells were infected with Ad5 and incubated with the compound for 24 h. Thereafter, DNA was prepared from the infected cells and quantitative real-time PCR was used to detect newly synthesized viral DNA. The principle of quantitative real-time PCR has been described previously,<sup>28</sup> as has the design of primers and probes for quantitative PCR analysis of various Ad types representing different adenovirus species.<sup>29,30</sup> Quantitative real-time PCR was carried out using a primer pair and a FAM-labeled probe for amplification and detected was normalized to the cellular RNaseP gene. Real-time PCR was performed in an ABI PRISM 7700 sequence detector (Applied Biosystems) and analyzed with Sequence Detector v1.7a software.

XTT Toxicity Test. The toxic effect of the compounds on cells was determined with the XTT-based In Vitro Toxicology Assay Kit (Sigma-Aldrich). This method is based on the principle of conversion of 2,3-bis[2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl]-2H-tetrazolium-5-carbox-anilide to a water-soluble formazan derivative by mitochondrial dehydrogenase. Approximately 15000 A549 cells were seeded in each well of 96-well plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) on the day before

Table 5. Effective	Concentrations and	Toxicities	of
Compounds with	Inhibitory Effects		

		EC <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup>	Viability		
Compound	Structure	[µM]	<b>30 μM</b> <sup>b</sup>	60 µМ <sup>ь</sup>	
1	О ПН О СООН	3.7°	82	83	
17h	F 0 NH 0 NH COOH	2.3	85	83	
35g	F 0 NH 0 С СООН	0.57	91	88	
35h	Р О ИН О Мео Коон	2.3	92	94	
35i	F 0 NH 0 NH соон	1.4	84	84	
35j		0.58	96	96	
Cidofovir	HO HO HO HO	20°	100	98	

<sup>*a*</sup>EC<sub>50</sub> values for inhibition of AdS replication in host cells. See Experimental Section for details. <sup>*b*</sup>Percentage viable cells after 24 h at 30 and 60  $\mu$ M. See Experimental Section for details. <sup>*c*</sup>Data acquired in our group using the same assay as for the other compounds reported here (Andersson et al.<sup>1</sup>). The baseline toxicity from the DMSO in the inhibitor solutions have been subtracted (2% toxicity with 0.15% DMSO corresponding to 30  $\mu$ M inhibitor solutions and 12% toxicity with 0.30% DMSO corresponding to 60  $\mu$ M inhibitor solutions).

addition of compounds. The next day, the growth medium was removed and compound was added to the cells in 100  $\mu$ L of DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 0.75 g/L NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 20 mM HEPES, 1×

#### Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

PEST, and 2% fetal bovine serum to give final concentrations of compound of 30  $\mu$ M (with 0.15% DMSO) and 60  $\mu$ M (with 0.30% DMSO). The cells were incubated in the presence of test compound or DMSO alone at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 24 h in total. Four hours prior to toxicity measurement, 50  $\mu$ L of XTT was added to the cells. The intensity of the formazan dye was measured spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 450 nm.<sup>25–27</sup>

4.2. General Chemical Procedures. LCMS was carried out with a Waters LC system equipped with an Xterra  $C_{18}$  column (50 mm  $\times$ 19 mm, 5  $\mu$ m, 125 Å), eluted with a linear gradient of CH<sub>3</sub>CN in water, both of which contained formic acid (0.2%). A flow rate of 1.5 mL/min was used and detection was performed at 214 and 254 nm. Mass spectra were obtained on a Water micromass ZQ 2000 using positive and negative electrospray ionization. Semipreparative reversed-phase HPLC was performed on a Beckman System Gold HPLC with a Supelco Discovery BIO Wide Pore C-18 column, using gradients of MeCN (0.1% TFA) and water (0.1% TFA) with a flow rate of 11 mL min<sup>-1</sup> and detection at 214 nm. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker DRX-400 or DRX-500 spectrometer. NMR experiments were conducted at 298 K in pyridine- $d_5$  (residual solvent peak = 7.20 ppm ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ ) and 123.44  $(\delta_{\rm C})$ ) and DMSO- $d_6$  (residual solvent peak = 2.50 ppm  $(\delta_{\rm H})$ ). Compounds 12a-g where purchased from ChemBridge Corp., analyzed with LCMS, and used without further characterization. All target compounds were ≥95% pure according to HPLC UV-traces, except the low-active compounds 17i, 35b, 35e, and 35h that were 91-93% pure.

**4.3.** Synthetic Procedures. Procedure A: Synthesis of an Acyl Chloride and Coupling to an Aniline (Exemplified by 6a). A catalytic amount of DMF was added to a stirred solution of Fmoc-aminobenzoic acid (100 mg, 278  $\mu$ mol) and oxalyl chloride (0.49 mL, 5.57 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (3 mL) at rt and under nitrogen atmosphere. After 40 min, the reaction mixture was concentrated, redissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane, and concentrated again. The crude product was immediately dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (4 mL) before pyridine (0.22 mL, 2.78 mmol) and ethyl aminobenzoate (184 mg, 1.11 mmol) were added. The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature and under nitrogen atmosphere before being diluted with DCM and washed with HCl (aq, 1M), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (aq, satd), and brine. The organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The crude amide was purified by short-pass column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, toluene/acetone  $98:2 \rightarrow 95:5$ ) and taken directly to the next reaction.

Procedure B: Fmoc Deprotection and in Situ Coupling to an Acyl Chloride (Exemplified by 11). TBAF (1 M, 0.35 mL, 0.345 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of Fmoc-protected aniline (100 mg, 0.23 mmol) in THF (3 mL) at room temperature. The reaction was stirred overnight before pyridine (70  $\mu$ L, 0.917 mmol) and BzCl (80  $\mu$ L, 0.689 mmol) were added. After 3 h, the reaction was concentrated and purified by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, toluene/acetone 100:0  $\rightarrow$  95:5) to give benzoylated product in 87% yield. The benzoylated product was purified further by RP-HPLC.

Procedure C: Saponification (Exemplified by 8d). NaOH (aq, 2 M, 0.5 mL) was added to a stirred solution of the ethyl ester (8.5 mg, 21.9  $\mu$ mol) in pyridine (2 mL) at room temperature. After 2 h, the pyridine was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue diluted with EtOAc and washed with HCl (aq, 1 M). The organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by semipreparative RP-HPLC to give the carboxylic acid product in 85% yield.

Procedure D: BOP-Activation of a Carboxylic Acid and Coupling to an Aniline (Exemplified by **10**). Aniline (114  $\mu$ L, 1.25 mmol) was added to Fmoc-aminobenzoic acid (300 mg, 835  $\mu$ mol), BOP (652 mg, 1.25 mmol), and DIPEA (0.44 mL, 2.50 mmol) in DMF (8 mL) at room temperature. After 2 h, more aniline (228  $\mu$ L, 2.50 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with HCl (aq, 1 M, 70 mL) and loaded onto a conditioned C<sub>18</sub> solid-phase extraction column (10 g). The column was washed with HCl (aq, 1 M, 70 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (140 mL) before being eluted with methanol and acetone. The pooled organic fractions were concentrated and purified by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, toluene/acetone  $100:0 \rightarrow 95:5 \rightarrow 90:10$ ) to give the benzamide in 28% yield.

Procedure E: Hydrogenation (Exemplified by 15). The nitro compound (2.24 g, 7.14 mmol) and Pd/C (220 mg) was dissolved/ suspended in EtOAc (50 mL) and methanol (20 mL) and stirred vigorously in an atmosphere of H<sub>2</sub> (1 atm). After 5 h, the Pd/C was filtered off over a pad of Celite and florisil to leave pure aniline product in quantitative yield (1.98 g) over two steps.

Procedure F: Coupling of an Acyl Chloride or Sulfonyl Chloride with an Aniline (Examplified by 16d). Pyridine (43  $\mu$ L, 0.54 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of the aniline (50 mg, 0.18 mmol) in DCM (0.9 mL) at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. After 5 min, methanesulfonyl chloride (29.9  $\mu$ L, 0.198 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight before being diluted with DCM and washed three times with HCl (aq, 1M), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (aq, satd), and brine. The organic phase was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography (toluene/ acetone 100:0 –> 98:2) to give the derivatized aniline in 60% yield (38 mg).

Procedure G: Benzylation of an Aniline (Exemplified by 16i). DIPEA (61  $\mu$ L, 0.54 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of the aniline (50 mg, 0.18 mmol) in DCM (0.9 mL) at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. After 5 min, benzyl bromide 11 (23  $\mu$ L, 0.198 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was heated in a microwave oven at 80 °C for 20 min and then 100 °C for 45 min before being diluted with DCM and washed three times with HCl (aq, 1M), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (aq, satd), and brine. The organic phase was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography (toluene/acetone 100:0 -> 98:2) to give the benzylated aniline in 45% yield (30 mg).

Procedure H:  $TMSCH_2N_2$ -Mediated Esterification (Examplified by **31e**). TMSCH\_2N\_2 (1.9 mL, 3.82 mmol, 2.0 M in hexanes) was added to a stirred solution of the aminobenzoic acid (600 mg, 3.47 mmol) in 20 mL of DCM/MeOH (9:1) under nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was quenched with glacial HOAc before being diluted with DCM and washed with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (aq, satd). The organic phase was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to give the methyl aminobenzoate in 99% yield (644 mg).

Procedure I: Acid-Catalyzed Esterification (Exemplified by **31a**). 1-Amino-4-chloro-benzoic acid (500 mg, 2.9 mmol) and  $H_2SO_4$  (conc, 0.5 mL) in ethanol (30 mL) was refluxed for 3 days before being concentrated to half-volume and diluted with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (aq, satd) and extracted three times with DCM (even though the reaction had not yet finished). The pooled DCM fractions were washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and purified by column chromatog-raphy (toluene/acetone 100:0 -> 98:2) to give the ethyl ester in 64% yield (370 mg).

Procedure J: Nitro Reduction Using FeCl<sub>3</sub>/C/Hydrazine (Exemplified by **33f**). Hydrazine hydrate (0.80 mL, 16.5 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of nitro compound **32f** (275 mg, 0.789 mmol), charcoal (50 mg, 4.16 mmol), and FeCl<sub>3</sub> (38 mg, 0.23 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) at 65 °C under nitrogen. The temperature was raised to reflux and kept there for 3 h before all the solids were filtered off over Celite. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (toluene/acetone 100:0 -> 98:2) to give the aniline **33f** in 46% yield (115 mg).

**4.4. Tabulated Compounds.** *Ethyl 3-[(2-Benzoylamino)-benzoylamino]benzoate (7a).* 7a was synthesized by procedures A and B.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 12.47 (s, 1H), 11.60 (s, 1H), 9.19 (br d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.71 (pyr-d5 overlap, 1H), 8.30–8.28 (m, 3H), 8.16 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (dt, J = 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (ddd, J = 8.5, 7.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (tt, J =7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.08 (td, J = 7.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.20 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 169.17, 166.12, 165.41, 140.72, 139.53, 135.54, 133.02, 132.11, 131.71, 129.37, 129.19, 129.08, 127.72, 126.49, 125.86, 122.92, 121.72, 121.58, 61.16, 14. 23.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_4]^-$ , 387.14; found, 387.51.

Ethyl 4-[(2-Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoate (**7b**). 7b was synthesized by procedures A and B.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  12.37 (s, 1H), 11.67 (s, 1H), 9.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.32–8.29 (m, 2H), 8.22 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 8.14 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 8.10 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.41 (m, 4H), 7.04 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 169.19, 166.00, 165.40, 143.64, 140.60, 135.51, 133.08, 132.17, 130.84, 129.34, 129.11, 127.72, 126.63, 122.86, 121.75, 121.57, 121.17, 60.91, 14. 32.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_4]^-$ , 387.14; found, 387.56.

*Ethyl 2-[(3-Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoate (7c).* 7c was synthesized by procedures A and B.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 12.28 (s, 1H), 11.26 (s, 1H), 9.21 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 9.01 (s, 1H), 8.42 (br d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 8.07 (br d, *J* = 7.7 1H), 8.01 (br d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H) 7.56–7.37 (m, 5H), 7.11 (br t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.24 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 168.57, 167.09, 165.44, 142.20, 140.99, 136.32, 136.06, 134.71, 131.75, 131.32, 129.61, 128.64, 128.27, 124.57, 122.87, 122.42, 120.75, 120.64, 116.07, 61.79, 14. 08.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_4]^-$ , 387.14; found, 387.54.

Ethyl 2-[(4-Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoate (7d). 7d was synthesized by procedures A and B.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  12.29 (s, 1H), 11.32 (s, 1H), 9.28 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.39–8.32 (m, 4H), 8.23–8.20 (m, 2H), 8.10 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (ddd, J = 8.6, 7.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.47– 7.42 (m, 1H), 7.39 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (ddd, J = 8.1, 7.3, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 168.69, 167.14, 165.03, 143.86, 142.49, 135.94, 134.79, 131.85, 131.34, 130.30, 128.76, 128.63, 128.32, 122.66, 120.63, 115.83, 61.78, 14. 09.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_4]^-$ , 387.14; found, 387. 53.

Ethyl 2-[(2-Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoate (7e). 7e was synthesized by procedures A and B.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (360 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  12.66 (s, 1H), 12.34 (s, 1H), 9.28 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 9.00 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.35 (dd, J = 6.9, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 8.13 (app t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (app t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.52–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.19 (app q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.35 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (91 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 168.6, 168.2, 165.4, 141.4, 141.2, 135.6, 134.7, 133.5, 132.2, 131.4, 129.1, 127.92, 127.77, 123.6, 121.8, 121.2, 121.0, 116.9, 62.0, 14.1.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{21}N_2O_4]^+$ , 389.14; found, 389.24.

3-[(2-Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (**8a**). 8a was synthesized by procedures A, B, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 12.87 (s, 1H), 11.98 (s, 1H), 9.57 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 9.35 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.68–8.61 (m, 4H), 8.51 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.93–7.86 (m, 2H), 7.82–7.71 (m, 3H), 7.43 (td, J = 7.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 169.17, 168.76, 165.40, 140.70, 139.48, 135.53, 133.46, 132.95, 132.09, 129.28, 129.24, 129.08, 127.72, 126.33, 126.09, 122.87, 121.77, 121.50.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_4]^-$ , 359.10; found, 359.52.

4-[(2-Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (**8b**). 8b was synthesized by procedures A, B, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  12.41 (s, 1H), 11.65 (s, 1H), 9.18 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 8.33–8.30 (m, 2H), 8.18 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 8.11 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.42 (m, 4H), 7.04 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 169.17, 168.59, 165.41, 143.23, 140.65, 135.55, 133.04, 132.15, 131.20, 129.34, 129.13, 128.41, 127.74, 122.84, 121.80, 121.55, 121.25.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_4]^-$ , 359.10; found, 359.52.

2-[(3-Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (8c). 8c was synthesized by procedures A, B, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 13.33 (s, 1H), 11.22 (s, 1H), 9.36 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 9.12 (s, 1H), 8.50 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H),  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  173.33, 168.02, 166.43, 143.61, 141.87, 137.64, 137.14, 134.95, 133.12, 132.71, 130.52, 129.62,

129.24, 125.22, 123.71, 123.70, 121.59, 121.42, 119. 24.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_4]^-$ , 359.10; found, 359.55.

2-[(4-Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (8d). 8d was synthesized by procedures A, B, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 13.31 (s, 1H), 11.23 (s, 1H), 9.42 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 8.44 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 8.27 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 8.18 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.63–7.55 (pyridine- $d_5$  overlap, 1H), 7.45–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.20–7.14 (pyridine- $d_5$  overlap, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 172.54, 167.08, 165.18, 143.59, 142.91, 136.03, 133.97, 132.21, 131.81, 130.89, 128.84, 128.62, 128.29, 122.53, 120.60, 120.41, 118. 28.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_4]^-$ , 359.10; found, 359.53.

2-[2-(Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (1). 17a was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 13.47 (s, 1H), 12.80 (s, 1H), 9.27 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 9.14 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 8.24 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.55 (m, pyridine- $d_5$  overlap, 1H), 7.52–7.45 (m, 4H), 7.23 (dt, *J* = 7.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (dt, *J* = 7.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 172.47, 168.31, 165.43, 141.72, 141.40, 135.70, 133.88, 133.16, 132.23, 132.13, 129.13, 128.12, 127.78, 121.70, 121.39, 120.81, 119. 10.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{17}N_2O_4]^+$ , 361.11; found, 361.20.

2-[2-(Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzene (11). 11 was synthesized by procedures D and B.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  12.56 (s, 1H), 11.42 (s, 1H), 9.19 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.32–8.27 (m, 2H), 8.10 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 8.04–7.99 (m, 2H), 7.52–7.37 (m, 6H), 7.22–7.17 (pyridine- $d_5$  overlap, 1H), 7.03 (td, J = 7.6, 1.1 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 168.97, 165.34, 140.67, 139.29, 135.56, 132.81, 132.09, 129.22, 129.16, 129.09, 127.70, 125.04, 122.80, 122.33, 121.78, 121.39.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_4]^-$ , 315.11; found, 315.52.

2-[2-(Acetylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (17a). 17a was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.33 (s, 1H), 11.56 (s, 1H), 9.15 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.96 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.54 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.64–7.61 (ddd, J = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.45–7.42 (ddd, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 172.47, 168.69, 167.89, 141.92, 140.74, 133.87, 132.75, 132.23, 128.01, 123.29, 122.10, 121.85, 120.59, 118.94, 25. 05.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{16}H_{15}N_2O_4]^+$ , 299.10; found, 299.25.

2-[2-(Methanesulfonylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (17b). 17b was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (360 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 13.40 (s, 1H), 9.01 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.50 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (td, J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (91 MHz; pyridine-*d*<sub>5</sub>): δ 174.29, 169.26, 143.36, 142.11,

135.70, 135.07, 133.93, 130.29, 125.65, 124.17, 122.43, 120.68, 41. 70. ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{15}H_{15}N_2O_5S]^+$ , 335.35; found, 335.26. 2-[2-(p-Toluenesulfonylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (**17c**).

17c was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_s$ ):  $\delta$  13.13 (s, 1H), 9.01 (d, J = 8.4

Hz, 1H), 8.48 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (dd, J = 7.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.64–7.60 (ddd, J = 8.6, 7.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.38 (ddd, J = 8.3, 7.2, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.24–

7.21 (ddd, J = 7.9, 7.1, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03–6.97 (m, 3H), 1.95 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  172.16, 167.23, 143.91,

141.39, 139.40, 136.83, 133.79, 132.78, 131.97 129.75, 127.90, 127.45,

124.52, 124.04, 123.32, 122.57, 120.39, 118.61, 20. 83.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{19}N_2O_5S]^+$ , 411.09; found, 411.14.

2-[2-(Trimethylacetylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (17d). 17d was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.34 (s, 1H), 11.94 (s, 1H), 9.14 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 8.51 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.58 (ddd, *J* = 8.7, 7.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.45– 7.42 (ddd, J = 8.5, 7.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.20 (ddd, J = 8.3, 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.05-7.02 (ddd, J = 8.3, 7.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 1.39 (s, 9H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_s$ ):  $\delta$  177.1, 172.3, 168.1, 141.7, 141.5, 133.8, 132.9, 132.1, 127.8, 123.2, 122.8, 121.4, 121.1, 120.5, 118.8, 27. 5.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{19}H_{21}N_2O_4]^+$ , 341.14; found, 341.24.

2-[[2-(Cyclohexanecarboxylamino)benzoyl]amino]benzoic Acid (17e). 17e was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.28 (s, 1H), 11.71 (s, 1H), 9.15 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 9.12 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 8.52 (dd, J =7.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (ddd, J = 8.5, 7.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (ddd, J = 8.5, 7.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 8.1, 7.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (tt, J = 11.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.13-2.06 (m, 2H), 1.74-1.61 (m, 4H), 1.53-1.46 (m, 1H), 1.27-1.06 (m, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine-d<sub>5</sub>): δ 175.7, 173.4, 169.1, 142.8, 142.3, 134.8, 133.9, 133.2, 129.0, 124.3, 124.0, 122.71, 122.52, 121.6, 120.0, 48.1, 30.9, 26.96, 26. 80.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{23}N_2O_4]^+$ , 367.16; found, 367.24.

2-[2-(4-Carboxybutanoylamido)benzoyl]aminobenzoic Acid (17f). 17f was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.31 (s, 1H), 11.83 (s, 1H), 9.16 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 9.01 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (m, 4H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine-d<sub>5</sub>): δ 175.1, 172.4, 171.0, 167.9, 141.9, 140.9, 133.9, 132.8, 132.2, 128.0, 123.1, 121.8, 120.6, 118.9, 33.3, 30. 0.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{18}H_{17}N_2O_6]^+$ , 357.10; found, 357.23.

2-[2-(Benzylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (17g). 17g was synthesized by procedures A, E, G, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (360 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.13 (s, 1H), 9.21 (d, J = 8.4Hz, 1H), 8.51 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.61–7.58 (m, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (app q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (s, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (91 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  172.4, 168.9, 150.9, 142.7, 139.8, 133.9, 133.5, 132.1, 128.9, 128.4, 127.55, 127.36, 122.5, 120.4, 118.1, 116.0, 115.6, 112.7, 47. 1.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{19}N_2O_3]^+$ , 347.13; found, 347.22.

2-[2-(2-Fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (17h). 17h was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (360 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.43 (s, 1H), 12.47 (d, J = 6.1Hz, 1H), 9.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.52 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.52 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (td, J = 7.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.23-7.17 (m, 2H), 7.11 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (91 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  173.90, 169.15, 163.70, 162.01 (d, J = 251.0 Hz), 143.25, 141.75, 137.24, 136.97, 135.22, 135.16,134.20, 133.60, 133.15, 129.43, 126.4 (d, J = 2.7 Hz), 125.34, 125.00, 124.72, 123.99, 122.02, 120.45, 118.1 (d, J = 24 Hz).

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{14}FN_2O_4]^-$ , 377.09; found, 377.20.

2-[2-(3-Fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (17i). 17i was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.90 (s, 1H), 12.82 (s, 1H), 9.10-9.07 (m, 2H), 8.56-8.52 (m, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (dt, J = 9.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (app dt, J = 11.0, 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (app td, J = 7.9, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (td, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.15 (m, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  168.04, 164.04, 164.02, 163.01 (d, J = 246.4 Hz), 140.66, 137.86, 137.81, 135.73, 135.54, 133.26,132.97, 132.20, 131.03, 130.97, 128.17, 123.77, 123.36, 123.14, 123.12, 121.59, 120.50, 118.91 (d, J = 21.3 Hz, 3C), 114.81 (d, J = 23.0 Hz).

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{16}FN_2O_4]^+$ , 379.35; found, 379.37.

2-[2-(4-Fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (17j). 17j was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (360 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.61 (s, 1H), 12.78 (s, 1H), 9.23 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 9.15 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.56 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.32 (dd, J = 8.0, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 8.25 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62– 7.56 (m, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.20 (m, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 7.10 (t, I = 7.7 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (91 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  168.55, 165.35 (d, J = 251.0Hz), 164.56, 141.96, 141.54, 134.03, 133.41, 132.54, 132.25, 130.65, 130.55, 128.42, 121.91, 121.66, 121.03, 116.40, 116. 16.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{16}FN_2O_4]^+$ , 379.35; found, 379.43.

2-[2-(Phenylacetylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (17k). 17k was synthesized by procedures A, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.25 (s, 1H), 11.72 (s, 1H), 9.11 (dd, J = 8.4, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 8.95 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.67-7.64 (ddd, J = 7.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.35 (m, 3H), 7.29-7.23 (m, 3H), 7.04-7.01 (ddd, J = 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (s, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  172.36, 169.81, 167.73, 141.87, 140.66, 133.88, 132.72, 132.20, 129.98, 129.02, 127.85, 127.31, 123.34, 123.30, 122.18, 121.78, 120.60, 118.88, 45. 73.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{22}H_{19}N_2O_4]^+$ , 375.13; found, 375.18.

2-[2-(Benzoylamino)benzoylamino]phenylacetic Acid (23). 23 was synthesized by procedures E, D, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  12.94 (s, 1H), 11.59 (s, 1H), 9.29 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.29 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.99 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.56-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.44-7.40 (m, 1H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.24 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (s, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, pyridine-*d*<sub>5</sub>): δ 176.4, 169.4, 166, 142, 137.8, 133.6, 132.6, 132.2, 131.7, 129.7, 129.3, 128.6, 128.3, 127.4, 127.2, 122.0, 121.4, 40.5.

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, pyridine-*d*<sub>5</sub>): δ 175.5, 168.8, 165.3, 141.5, 137.4, 135.6, 133.1, 132.0, 131.7, 131.4, 129.1, 128.8, 128.1, 127.8, 126.82, 126.65, 123.0, 121.4, 120.8, 40.1.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{22}H_{17}N_2O_4]^+$ , 373.13; found, 373.20.

2-[2-(Benzoylamino)phenylacetylamino]benzoic Acid (28). 28 was synthesized by procedures D, E, F, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  12.72 (s, 1H), 11.30 (s, 1H), 8.95 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.48-8.45 (m, 2H), 8.41 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.37 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.44 (m, 5H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.13 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.03 (s, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  172.1, 171.3, 165.9, 141.7, 138.5, 135.6, 135.3, 133.8, 132.03, 131.90, 131.3, 128.97, 128.83, 128.28, 128.17, 125.54, 125.43, 120.5, 43. 6.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{22}H_{19}N_2O_4]^+$ , 375.13; found, 375.22.

2-[2-(2-Fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]-4-chlorobenzoic Acid (35a). 35awas synthesized by procedures I, A, J, A, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  14.04 (s, 1H), 12.39 (d, J = 6.1Hz, 1H), 9.25 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 9.14 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.24–8.21 (m, 2H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.36 (m, 1H), 7.23–7.09 (m, 4H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  171.89, 167.76, 162.24 (d, J =2.5 Hz), 160.27 (d, J = 250.9 Hz), 142.56, 140.24, 138.43, 133.59 (d, J = 8.8 Hz), 133.38, 132.73, 131.49 (d, J = 1.6 Hz), 128.07, 124.83 (d, J = 3.4 Hz), 123.73, 122.95, 122.57, 122.47, 122.40, 119.87, 118.91, 116.58 (d, J = 23.1 Hz).

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{13}ClFN_2O_4]^-$ , 411.06; found, 411.16. 2-[2-(2-Fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]-5-chlorobenzoic

Acid (35b). 35b was synthesized by procedures I, A, J, A, and C. Compound 35b was only available in very small quantities. Consequently, some signals are lost in the noise or obscured by solvent signals.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  12.69 (s, 1H), 9.19 (d, J = 8.5Hz, 1H), 9.12 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.79 (s, 2H), 8.28 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.37 (m, 1H), 7.04-7.01 (m, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine-*d*<sub>5</sub>): δ 168.65, 141.60, 141.33, 134.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 133.43, 132.96, 132.61, 132.11, 129.38, 125.96 (d, J = 3.4 Hz), 123.28, 122.58, 117.73 (d, J = 22.9 Hz).

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{13}CIFN_2O_4]^-$ , 411.06; found, 411.18. 2-[2-(2-Fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]-4-methoxybenzoic Acid (**35c**). **35d** was synthesized by procedures H, A, E, A, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.39 (s, 1H, CONH), 12.35 (s, 1H, CONH), 9.13 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.85 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.48 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.42 (q, (d, J = 7.6, 15.0 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.20 (under pyridine- $d_5$ , 2H), 7.11–7.14 (m, 1H), 6.83–6.86 (dd, J = 3.4, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H, –ArOCH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 172.1, 167.9, 164.1, 162.2, 160.4 (d, J = 249.9 Hz), 143.6, 140.2, 133.8, 133.7 (d, J = 8.3 Hz), 132.7, 131.6, 127.9, 124.9, 124.8, 123.9, 122.9, 122.6, 116.6 (d, J = 24.2 Hz), 110.9, 109.0, 105.8, 55.3.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{22}H_{18}FN_2O_5]^+$ , 409.38; found, 409.39.

2-[2-(2-Fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]-5-methoxybenzoic Acid (35d). 35e was synthesized by procedures H, A, E, A, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 13.62 (s, 1H), 12.62 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 9.17 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 9.13 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 8.26–8.20 (m, 2H), 8.18 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.37 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.16 (m, under pyridine- $d_5$ , 3H), 7.09 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 172.38, 167.05, 162.19 (d, J = 2.7 Hz), 160.34 (d, J = 250.9 Hz), 155.25, 140.21, 135.42, 133.62, 133.53, 132.34, 131.55, 131.52, 127.85, 124.85 (d, J = 3.4 Hz), 123.74, 123.68, 122.89, 122.30, 121.93, 119.20, 116.58 (d, J = 23.2 Hz), 116.17, 55.19.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{22}H_{18}FN_2O_5]^+$ , 409.38; found, 409.45.

2-[2-(2-Fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]-4,5-difluorobenzoic Acid (**35e**). **35a** was synthesized by procedures H, A, E, A, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 13.73 (s, 1H), 12.37 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 9.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 9.05 (app q, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (t, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (q, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.23 (m, 2H), 7.14 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, pyridine- $d_s$ ): δ 171.01, 167.67, 162.36, 160.44 (d, *J* = 250.7 Hz, 14C), 152.44 (dd, *J* = 250.0, 13.0 Hz, 15C), 145.39 (dd, *J* = 245.0, 13.0 Hz, 15C), 140.32, 138.92, 138.82, 133.88, 133.80, 132.97, 131.70, 128.09, 125.03 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 123.96, 122.66, 122.49, 120.41 (d, *J* = 19.1 Hz), 116.75 (d, *J* = 23.4 Hz), 109.32 (d, *J* = 24.0 Hz).

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{14}F_3N_2O_4]^+$ , 415.33; found, 415.37.

2-[4-Chloro-2-(2-fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (**35f**). **35f** was synthesized by procedures A, J, A, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $\dot{d}_5$ ): δ 13.42 (s, 1H), 12.51 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 9.26 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 9.09 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (td, *J* = 7.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.55–7.52 (m, 1H, under pyridine- $d_5$ ), 7.44–7–39 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.17 (m, 3H), 7.09 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 172.42, 166.73, 162.34 (d, J = 2.2 Hz), 160.36 (d, J = 251.0 Hz), 141.52, 141.32, 138.22, 133.95, 133.88, 133.68, 132.04, 131.63 (d, J = 1.6 Hz), 129.22, 124.93 (d, J = 3.4 Hz), 123.59, 123.12, 121.90, 120.80, 120.47, 118.96, 116.62 (d, J = 23.4 Hz).

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{13}ClFN_2O_4]^-$ , 411.06; found, 411.15. 2-[5-Chloro-2-(2-fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (**35g**). **35g** was synthesized by procedures A, J, A, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 13.39 (s, 1H), 12.28 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 9.07 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 8.51 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (td, J = 7.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.16 (m, 4H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 172.21, 166.12, 162.13 (d, J = 2.2 Hz), 160.35 (d, J = 250.7 Hz), 141.32, 138.73, 133.82, 133.75, 133.58, 132.26, 132.03, 131.63 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 128.41, 127.88, 124.88 (d, J = 3.2 Hz), 124.49, 123.99, 120.52, 119.35, 116.57 (d, J = 23.1 Hz).

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{13}ClFN_2O_4]^-$ , 411.06; found, 411.15. 2-[4-Methoxy-2-(2-fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (**35h**). **3Sh** was synthesized by procedures A, E, A, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, pyridine  $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  13.25 (s, 1H), 12.82 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 9.07 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.87 (br s, 1H), 8.47 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz,

1H), 8.20–8.15 (m, 2H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.15 (m, under pyridine- $d_5$ , 3H), 6.73 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 172.38, 167.60, 163.14, 162.59 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 160.27 (d, J = 250.8 Hz), 142.49, 141.86, 133.80, 133.71, 132.06, 131.39 (d, J = 1.5 Hz), 129.59, 124.97 (d, J = 3.3 Hz), 122.96, 120.44, 118.64, 116.66 (d, J = 23.1 Hz), 114.32, 109.68, 107.16, 55. 32.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{22}H_{18}FN_2O_5]^+$ , 409.38; found, 409.45.

2-[5-Methoxy-2-(2-fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylamino]benzoic Acid (**35i**). **35i** was synthesized by procedures A, E, A, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (360 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 13.35 (s, 1H), 12.21 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 9.15 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 9.08 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.23–7.17 (m, under pyridine- $d_5$ , 4H), 3.77 (s, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (91 MHz, pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 172.41, 167.30, 161.94, 160.76 (d, *J* = 250.0 Hz), 155.82, 141.79, 133.87, 133.61 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz), 133.45, 132.16, 131.76, 124.98 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 124.43, 124.33, 123.61, 123.30, 120.47, 118.87, 118.67, 116.66 (d, *J* = 24.1 Hz), 112.61, 55. 40.

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{22}H_{18}FN_2O_5]^+$ , 409.11; found, 409.39. 2-[4.5-Difluoro-2-(2-fluorobenzov/amino)benzov/amino)benzoic

*2-[4,5-Diffuoro-2-(2-fluorobenzoylamino)benzoylaminojbenzoic Acid* (**35***j*). **35***j* was synthesized by procedures A, E, A, and C.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (360 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 14.10 (s, 1H), 12.58 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 9.13–9.03 (m, 2 H), 8.58 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (td, *J* = 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (t, *J* = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.13 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (91 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ): δ 174.51, 165.64, 162.38, 160.39

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (91 MHz; pyridine- $d_5$ ):  $\delta$  174.51, 165.64, 162.38, 160.39 (d, J = 251.0 Hz), 145.5 (dd, J = 246.0, 13.8 Hz), 141.35, 137.97, 137.86, 134.14, 134.05, 132.64, 132.34, 131.79, 125.08 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1C), 123.30, 122.41, 120.23, 119.21, 116.93 (d, J = 19.0 Hz), 116.78 (d, J = 24.0 Hz), 111.30 (d, J = 23.6 Hz).

ESIMS m/z calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{12}F_3N_2O_4]^-$ , 413.08; found, 413. 19.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

Copies of NMR spectra and calculated physical chemical properties can be found in the Supporting Information. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*Phone: +46-90-7869328. Fax: +46-90-7867655. E-mail: mikael.elofsson@chem.umu.se. Address: Department of Chemistry, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Swedish Research Council for financial support. We thank Dr. David Andersson for calculating physical properties and for helpful discussions.

#### ABBREVIATIONS USED

Ad, adenovirus; BOP, (benzotriazol-1-yloxy)tris-(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate; DCM, dichloromethane; DIPEA, diisopropylethylamine; DMEM, Dulbecco/Vogt Modified Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium; DMF, dimethyl formamide; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; EC<sub>50</sub>, effective concentration 50%; FAM, carboxyfluorescein; Fmoc, 9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl; HEPES, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1piperazineethanesulfonic acid; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PEST, penicillin–streptomycin; QM, quantum mechanics; XTT, 2,3bis[2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl]-2*H*-tetrazolium-5-carbox-anilide

## **REFERENCES**

(1) Andersson, E. K.; Strand, M.; Edlund, K.; Lindman, K.; Enquist, P. A.; Spjut, S.; Allard, A.; Elofsson, M.; Mei, Y. F.; Wadell, G. Small-molecule screening using a whole-cell viral replication reporter gene assay identifies 2-{[2-(benzoylamino)benzoyl]amino}-benzoic acid as a novel antiadenoviral compound. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2010**, *54*, 3871–3877.

(2) Wadell, G. Molecular epidemiology of human adenoviruses. *Curr. Top. Microbiol.* **1984**, *110*, 191–220.

 $(\hat{3})$  Adrian, T. H.; Wadell, G.; Hierholzer, J. C.; Wigand, R. DNA restriction patterns of adenovirus prototypes 1 to 41. *Arch. Virol.* **1986**, *91*, 277–299.

(4) Wadell, G.; Allard, A.; Hierholzer, J. C. In *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*; 7th ed.; Murray, P. R., Baron, E. J., Pfaller, M. A., Tenover, Yolken, R. H., Eds.; ASM Press: Washington, DC, 1999; pp 970–982.

(5) Hierholzer, J. C. Adenoviruses in the immunocompromised host. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **1992**, *5*, 262–274.

(6) Klinger, J. R.; Sanchez, M. P.; Curtin, L. A.; Durkin, M.; Matyas, B. Multiple cases of life-threatening adenovirus pneumonia in a mental health care center. *Am. J. Resp. Crit. Care* **1998**, *157*, 645–649.

(7) Baldwin, A.; Kingman, H.; Darville, M.; Foot, A. B. M.; Grier, D.; Cornish, J. M.; Goulden, N.; Oakhill, A.; Pamphilon, D. H.; Steward, C. G.; Marks, D. I. Outcome and clinical course of 100 patients with adenovirus infection following bone marrow transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transpl.* **2000**, *26*, 1333–1338.

(8) Michaels, M. G.; Green, M.; Wald, E. R.; Starzl, T. E. Adenovirus infection in pediatric liver transplant recipients. *J. Infect. Dis.* **1992**, *165*, 170–172.

(9) Kojaoghlanian, T.; Flomenberg, P.; Horwitz, M. S. The impact of adenovirus infection on the immunocompromised host. *Rev. Med. Virol.* **2003**, *13*, 155–171.

(10) Kroes, A. C. M.; de Klerk, E. P. A; Lankester, A. C.; Malipaard, C.; de Brouwer, C. S.; Claas, E. C. J.; Jol-van der Zijde, E. C.; van Tol, M. J. D. Sequential emergence of multiple adenovirus serotypes after pediatric stem cell transplantation. *J. Clin. Virol.* **200**7, *38*, 341–347.

(11) Leruez-Ville, M.; Chardin-Ouachee, M.; Neven, B.; Picard, C.; Le Guinche, I.; Fischer, A.; Rouzioux, C.; Blanche, S. Description of an adenovirus A31 outbreak in a paediatric haematology unit. *Bone Marrow Transpl.* **2006**, *38*, 23–28.

(12) Muller, W. J.; Levin, M. J.; Shin, Y. K.; Robinson, C.; Quinones, R.; Malcolm, J.; Hild, E.; Gao, D.; Giller, R. Clinical and in vitro evaluation of cidofovir for treatment of adenovirus infection in pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2005**, *41*, 1812–1816.

(13) Hoffman, J. A.; Shah, A. J.; Ross, L. A.; Kapoor, N. Adenoviral infections and a prospective trial of cidofovir in pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2001, 7, 388–394.

(14) Naesens, L.; Lenaerts, L.; Andrei, G.; Snoeck, R.; Van Beers, D.; Holy, A.; Balzarini, J.; De Clercq, E. Antiadenovirus activities of several classes of nucleoside and nucleotide analogues. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2005**, *49*, 1010–1016.

(15) Gavin, P. J.; Katz, B. Z. Intravenous ribavirin treatment for severe adenovirus disease in immunocompromised children. *Pediatrics* **2002**, *110*, e9.

(16) Bordigoni, P.; Carret, A.-S.; Venard, V.; Witz, F.; Le Faou, A. Treatment of adenovirus infections in patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2001**, *32*, 1290–1297.

(17) Lion, T.; Kosulin, K.; Landlinger, C.; Rauch, M.; Preuner, S.; Jugovic, D.; Pötschger, U.; Lawitschka, A.; Peters, C.; Fritsch, G.; Matthes-Martin, S. Monitoring of adenovirus load in stool by real-time PCR permits early detection of impending invasive infection in patients after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Leukemia* **2010**, *24*, 706–714.

(18) Ueki, M.; Amemiya, M. Removal of 9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl (Fmoc) group with tetrabutylammonium fluoride. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 6617–6620.

(19) Hashimoto, N.; Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T. New methods and reagents in organic-synthesis. 0.14. A simple efficient preparation of methyl-esters with trimethylsilyldiazomethane (TMSCHN<sub>2</sub>) and its application to gas-chromatographic analysis of fatty acids. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1981**, *29*, 1475–1478.

(20) Eastwood, A. L.; Blum, A. P.; Zacharias, N. M.; Dougherty, D. A. A selenide-based approach to photochemical cleavage of peptide and protein backbones at engineered backbone esters. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 9241–9244.

(21) Cao, S.; Hernandez-Matéo, F.; Roy, R. Scope and applications of "active and latent" thioglycosyl donors. Part 4. *J. Carbohydr. Chem.* **1998**, *17*, 609–631.

(22) Kauppi, A. M.; Andersson, C. D.; Norberg, H. A.; Sundin, C.; Linusson, A.; Elofsson, M. Inhibitors of type III secretion in Yersinia: design, synthesis and multivariate QSAR of 2-arylsulfonylaminobenzanilides. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *15*, 6994–7011.

(23) Gowda, S.; Gowda, B. K. K.; Gowda, D. C. Hydrazinium monoformate: a new hydrogen donor. Selective reduction of nitrocompounds catalyzed by commercial zinc dust. *Synth. Commun.* **2003**, *33*, 281–289.

(24) Larsen, J. W.; Freund, M.; Kim, K. Y.; Sidovar, M.; Stuart, J. L. Mechanism of the carbon catalyzed reduction of nitrobenzene by hydrazine. *Carbon* **2000**, *38*, 655–661.

(25) Roehm, N. W.; Rodgers, G. H.; Hatfield, S. M.; Glasebrook, A. L. An improved colorimetric assay for cell proliferation and viability utilizing the tetrazolium salt XTT. *J. Immunol. Methods* **1991**, *142*, 257–265.

(26) Scudiero, D. A.; Shoemaker, R. H.; Paull, K. D.; Monks, A.; Tierney, S.; Nofziger, T. H.; Currens, M. J.; Seniff, D.; Boyd, M. R. Evaluation of a soluble tetrazolium/formazan assay for cell growth and drug sensitivity in culture using human and other tumor cell lines. *Cancer Res.* **1988**, *48*, 4827–4833.

(27) Weislow, O. S.; Kiser, R.; Fine, D. L.; Bader, J.; Shoemaker, R. H.; Boyd, M. R. new soluble-formazan assay for HIV-1 cytopathic effects: application to high-flux screening of synthetic and natural products for AIDS-antiviral activity. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **1989**, *81*, 577–586.

(28) Heid, C. A.; Stevens, J.; Livak, K. J.; Williams, P. M. Real time quantitative PCR. *Genome Res.* **1996**, *6*, 986–994.

(29) Allard, A.; Albinsson, B.; Wadell, G. Rapid typing of human adenoviruses by a general PCR combined with restriction endonuclease analysis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2001**, *39*, 498–505.

(30) Hernroth, B. E.; Conden-Hansson, A. C.; Rehnstam-Holm, A. S.; Girones, R.; Allard, A. K. Environmental factors influencing human viral pathogens and their potential indicator organisms in the blue mussel, Mytilus edulis: the first Scandinavian report. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2002**, *68*, 4523–4533.